Investment Research - General Market Conditions

25 January 2024

Geopolitical radar

Political status quo in Taiwan, truce hopes rise in Gaza

- Taiwan's independence-leaning party DPP won the Presidency again but with a
 weaker mandate. We look for continued tensions but not new escalation in the
 Taiwan Strait. EU Commission proposes economic security initiative aimed at
 China.
- After more than three months of intense fighting in Gaza, hopes for a ceasefire
 have risen after Israel offered a two month pause in war in exchange of Hamas
 releasing hostages. Meanwhile, US and its allies' attacks against the Houthis in the
 Red Sea continue, and the risk of regional escalation remains.
- War extends to the Baltic Sea as Ukraine's drones hit a major Russian gas exports terminal near the city of St. Petersburg. Ukraine has also managed to down two Russian command aircrafts recently.

China: Taiwan election does not point to escalation

In the Taiwan election on 13 January the independence-leaning DPP secured the presidency again as expected as their candidate Lai Ching-te (also known as William Lai) won with a 6.7 percentage points margin to KMT's Hou Yu-ih. However, Lai's victory with 40.1% of the votes was smaller than his predecessor Tsai Ing-wen who got 57.1% in 2020 and DPP lost the majority in the Taiwanese parliament. Hence Lai is ruling with a weaker mandate having support from less than half of the population. Lai's victory was also secured by keeping a more moderate tone on independence than before he became presidential candidate suggesting that he is unlikely to increase confrontations with China. It reflects a mood among the Taiwanese where polls show a clear majority in favour of the status quo - and more so over the past year, which suggests they do not like to see the boat rocked too much towards China.

While tensions in the Taiwan Strait are likely to remain, the election result does not point to a further escalation in our view, see Research China – Taiwan election points to status quo, but not further escalation, 15 January 2024. So far China's response has been muted contrary to some commentators' expectations of a sharp reaction if Lai won. It does not mean China has changed its' fundamental stance and they did criticize governments that congratulated Lai's victory. But we have not yet seen large scale military drills as some expected. The US president Joe Biden was quick to state that the US did not support Taiwanese independence. The domestic situations in both the US and China suggest that no one wants to stir up tensions too much this year. Biden probably does not want China to be an important theme in the Presidential campaign as Republicans could have the upper hand from being more hawkish than Biden. And on China's side they would probably also like to see tensions dial down as they aim to revive weak economic confidence both domestically as well as among foreign companies and investors.

On other fronts, US-China relations also seem to be on a more stable footing, despite continued underlying frictions and rivalry. In early January, Chinese military officials *visited Pentagon* for the first time in four years showing that the defence dialogue has been

Key events in 2024

- January: Seaborne trade disrupted in the Red Sea due to Houthi attacks
- January: DPP wins Taiwan election but weaker mandate points to status quo in China relations

Taiwan election results				
	2012	2016	2020	2024
DPP Presidency	45.6	56.1	57.1	40.1
DPP Parliament seats	40	68	61	51
KMT Presidency	51.6	31	38.6	33.5
KMT Parliament seats	64	35	38	52
TPP Presidency	-	-	-	26.5
TPP Parliamentary seats	-	-	5	8
Source: Central Election Commission				

Chief Analyst Allan Von Mehren alvo@danskebank.dk

Chief Analyst Minna Kuusisto minna.kuusisto@danskebank.com reestablished. A US *trade team visited China* last week continuing dialogues on trade and financial issues. In summary, so far, the calming of US-China tensions following the Xi-Biden meeting in November last year has continued into 2024.

On South China Sea issues, a conflict between China and the Philippines saw some de-escalation after a meeting last week with an agreement to improve maritime communication. However, the territorial dispute is still very much in place and Philippines' defence secretary Gilberto Teodoro on Tuesday said the Philippines will "increase the tempo" of activities with allies and major partners in the West Philippines Sea. The country also plans military upgrades to the disputed outposts, which China strongly opposes and has warned of "firm countermeasures". Hence, the conflict continues to be a potential hotspot that could escalate at some point.

On EU-China issues a couple of things have happened over the past month. The EU's ongoing investigation on Chinese EV subsidies is currently under way and will be done by November. Last week China's vice-minister of industry and information technology indicated China would address EU concerns over overcapacity saying that Beijing would take forceful measures to address "blind" construction of new EV projects and stressed there were some disorderly competition behaviours. However, at the same time China has started its own anti-dumping investigation into EU brandy following price discounts on the Chinese market. It looks very much like a symbolic warning shot to EU of not taking to strong action against China on the EV issue.

The EU Commission on Wednesday presented a *proposal* of an economic security strategy with "five initiatives to strengthen the EU's economic security at a time of growing geopolitical tensions and profound technological shifts". The proposal is clearly aimed at China and includes improved screening of inbound foreign investment, coordination of export controls, identifying risks from outbound investments in technologies and research cooperation with other countries. It looks very similar to the policies that have come out of Washington in recent years and the EU Commission has in general leaned in a more hawkish stance on China than some of the member states such as Germany, which has a high economic exposure to China. Hence the final adoption will likely have less teeth than US policies.

Speaking of Germany, a *business confidence report* from the German Chamber of Commerce in China this week stated that "a *vast majority of companies remain committed to the Chinese market*" with only 2% saying they expected to leave China partly. 54% of companies said they plan to increase investments led by the auto sector and electronics. It is slightly up from 51% in 2022 but lower than pre-pandemic levels around 66%.

Middle East: ceasefire hopes but also escalation risks

While the war in Gaza drags on, hopes for a ceasefire have raised their head. Israel's latest offer entailed a two-month pause in fighting and an exchange of Israeli hostages for Palestinian prisoners kept in Israel. *According to media reports*, however, Hamas who has previously demanded a complete withdrawal of Israeli troops from Gaza in exchange for the release of hostages, has already rejected the offer.

It is still not easy to see the way out of this conflict, and it is also very unclear what an end to this conflict would look like. For now, it seems unlikely that Israel would withdraw all of its troops from Gaza, also because Israel has said it wants a buffer zone on the Palestinian side of Gaza's border to prevent future attacks – *a plan that the US opposes*. In December, high-ranking Israeli officials said the war could still last for months. Israeli leadership has said they want to abolish Hamas completely, but *US intelligence agencies*

Related reading

- Research China: Taiwan election points to status quo, but not further escalation, 15 January
- Research Global: Tensions rise in the Red Sea – should we worry?
 12 January
- Research Global The Middle East unveiled - how a regional storm could ignite global flames, 28
 November
- Geopolitical radar Extra edition:
 Fauda what to expect from chaos in Israel, 9 October
- Research Global Why India is a key swing state in the new cold war era, 3 October
- Research Global The new Brics+ is not a love marriage, 25 August
- Research Global Are Nordic companies deglobalizing? 9 August
- Research Global Deglobalisation
 is it really happening, 13 April
- Research China: The risk of a Taiwan war and what it implies – part 1, 11 August 2022
- Research China: The risk of a
 Taiwan war and what it implies part 2, 19 August 2022

Link to previous publications.

estimate Israeli forces have only killed 20-30% of Hamas fighters. Clearly, Israel would have more ground to cover, but both international and domestic pressure is building up.

A temporary ceasefire, such as the one recently offered by Israel, could pave the way for diplomacy and more lasting truce. Yet, a protracted stalemate looks possible. Support for Hamas that initially rose after Israel launched its ground operation in Gaza, has started to decline. At the same time, Israel's Prime Minister Netanyahu's support is also falling. In a way, both are fighting for survival, and desperation could mean they are ready to take this further still. Israel's military power is clearly superior, but the probability of bringing the hostages back alive declines the longer the war drags on, which is a key issue domestically. For the same reasons, for Hamas, it makes no sense to accept an offer that would entail the release of all hostages.

For as long as the war drags on, the risk of escalation remains. As we wrote in our *Research Global: Tensions rise in the Red Sea – should we worry?* 12 January, we do not see the current situation in the Red Sea constituting a major risk for the global economy. The detour for commercial vessels around Africa implies longer delivery times for some goods and additional costs as freight rates have increased significantly. However, transport costs account for a small share of the end price of a good, and in the short term, freight demand is price inelastic. Furthermore, the world economy is now in a very different state compared to the pandemic when goods demand was excessive and companies' pricing power was strong. Also, energy markets remain calm, and gas prices have even declined.

With no immediate resolution to the war in Gaza in sight, tensions continue to build up between Iran and its neighbours. In mid-January, Iran launched missile strikes on three different countries – Iraq, Syria and Pakistan. The attack on Syria targeted IS militants while the strike on Pakistan was to target strongholds of an anti-Iran insurgent group (Jaish al-Adl). The concurrent attacks on Iraq were said to target Israeli spy sites. Meanwhile, Iran-backed militant groups continue their attacks against US bases in Iraq.

Particularly Iran's attack on Pakistan raised alarm since relations between the two countries have generally been *peaceful and functional*. Iran's motivations for taking such a risk with a neighbour whose army is more powerful than their own, remains unclear. At the same time, the attack also put the Pakistani leadership in a difficult position. Considering Pakistan's tense relations with its other neighbours – its arch-rival India, and the Taliban regime in Afghanistan – it was definitely not in their interest to stoke further instability, and they ended up responding with an attack on separatist militants inside Iran. This seems like a successful balancing act, since on January 22nd, *Iran and Pakistan announced* that they would resume diplomatic relations and return recalled ambassadors on Jan 26th.

Russia-Ukraine: stepping up attacks on Russian soil

Although Russia's war in Ukraine is not making as much headlines as it was in 2022, fighting remains intense. In December, Russia conducted a series of air strikes on Ukrainian cities, its largest attack since the war started. In January, Ukraine has also managed some wins. Around mid-month, Ukraine's military said it had shot down a Russian military spy plane (A.50), and an II-22 control centre plane. According to UK MOD, Russia likely had six operational A-50s in service, meaning that Ukraine's successful attack would mark a significant blow to Moscow's air power.

Ukraine's attacks are increasingly targeting Russian soil. On Jan 22nd, an explosion was reported at a major gas export terminal in Russia, near the city of St. Petersburg, by the Baltic Sea. Kyiv officials said it was a 'special operation' conducted with drones that worked 'on target'. Same day, 25 people were killed by shelling at a market in the Russian

controlled city of Donetsk. Two days later, Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov called an emergency UN Security Council session to discuss the crash of a Russian plane that was carrying Ukrainian prisoners of war to a prisoners exchange due to take place in the Belgorod region. Russia has accused Ukraine for deliberately shooting down the plane while Ukraine insists it is Russia's responsibility to ensure safe transport of Ukrainian PoWs and that Kyiv should have been notified if they were on board.

Other developments on the radar

Sweden is finally close to become a NATO member after the Turkish parliament approved their membership bid. Hungary's Prime Minister Viktor Orbán was quick to announce that his government would also support the ratification of Sweden's accession. However, Hungary's parliament is in a winter break until February the 26th.

Our baseline scenarios on key geopolitical risks

War in Ukraine:

Our main scenario remains a frozen conflict that could last for years. Russia with its increasingly demotivated army is not able to make significant advances, particularly as Western military support for Ukraine remains. Ukraine has managed to make some advance through its main offensive but pushing Russia back behind the 2014 defence lines seems like a massive challenge.

Risks to our main scenario remain broadly balanced but downside risks are rising. The main downside risks are related to war fatigue among Ukraine's Western allies, leading to a significant reduction in particularly military support. The US 2024 presidential election poses a significant event risk if Trump is re-elected. Main upside risks are related to the scope and success of Ukraine's counteroffensives.

Risk of war on Taiwan

While tensions have increased and likely to remain high we see the risk of a war in the next couple of years as quite low (20%). The potential costs of a war for all sides (US, China, and Taiwan) will be substantial, hence we don't expect China to initiate a war unprovoked and we don't expect the US or Taiwan to cross the 'red line' of declaring sovereignty for Taiwan. In the medium to longer term the risk is high, though, see also Research China: The risk of a Taiwan war and what it implies - part 1, 11 August 2022.

Risks to our scenario is that a war comes in the coming years if mishaps happen that trigger a tit-for-tat spiral or new governments in either the US or Taiwan crosses China's 'red line'. We see it as unlikely China would invade unprovoked in the coming years as they are still too vulnerable economically and not ready militarily.

See Appendix next page for time line of historical events.

Appendix 1. Historical timeline



Timeline of geopolitical developments

1980

1990

2000



1972: Nixon and Brezhnev sign arms control treaties, **period of détente** begins

1973: The USSR and the US come close to a nuclear war in Arab-Israeli war

1980: Soviet invasion of Afghanistan

1983: talks to reduce **nuclear weapons** break down after **Soviet shoots down** Korean plane

1985: Gorbachev appointed

1989: Fall of the Berlin wall Yeltsin elected as president

Former Soviet Republics declare independence

1992: Yeltsin's economic 'shock therapy' leads to hyperinflation in Russia

1994: Clinton's 'three nos' on NATO expansion

1995: NATO bombing Bosnian Serbs angers Moscow

1998: Putin becomes Head of FSB, ruble crisis

1999: CZ, HU and PL join NATO NATO mounts air strikes in Yugoslavia Vladimir Putin appointed as Prime Minister

2000: Putin elected as President

2001: US starts invasion of Afghanistan

2002: Bush speech on 'Axis of Evil'

2003: US, UK invade Iraq

2004: NATO expands to east, including Baltics

2007: Putin's 'Munich speech' warns the West of further NATO expansion

2008: Russia-Georgia five day war

2011: Arab Spring escalates. **Syrian civil war** begins, NATO intervenes in Libya

2013: Syria uses chemical weapons. US stands back despite the 'red line' rhetoric.

2014: Russian annexation of Crimea triggers international sanctions.

2015: Iran nuclear accord. Russian military intervenes in **Syria**.

2016: Russian interference in US election where Donald Trump is elected.

2017: Iraq liberates Mosul from ISIS. Russia organises huge military drills joint with Belarus. US approves defensive arms for Ukraine.

2018: US announces withdrawal from **Syria** and from **Iran** nuclear deal.

2019: Putin declares'the liberal idea' outlived. US approves sanctions related to NS2.

2022: Russia's invasion of Ukraine begins. Russia faces unprecedented international sanctions

2023: The ICC rules an arrest warrant on Vladimir Putin, Finland joins NATO, Ukraine makes limited advance in counteroffensives

1972: Nixon-Mao meeting begins US-China rapprochement. US One-China policy on Taiwan.

1979: US and China establishes diplomatic relations

1982; Reagan issues "Six assurances" to Taiwan while reaffirming one-China policy

1989: Tiananmen square puts US-China engagement on hold for some years.

1991: Collapse of Soviet Union

1992: The 1992 consensus between China and Taiwan on different interpretations on what 'One China' means

1993: Clinton pursues "constructive engagement"

1996: The "Third Taiwan Strait crisis" between US and China

1999: US **bombs hit China embassy** in former Yugoslavia.

2000: China joins WTO

2005: China passes Anti-Secession law stating China prefers peaceful reunification with Taiwan but will use non-peaceful means if necessary

2008: Barack Obama elected US President

2011: Obama begins **pivot to Asia**, angering China. China becomes more assertive

2012: Xi Jinping selected as China's new leader. Democratic reforms no longer likely.

2016: End of engagement policy. **Confrontation begins. Phone call by Taiwan President** Tsai Ingwen to President-elect Trump marks shift in Taiwan

2017: US labels China a **revisionist power** in US National Security Strategy. Xi removes term limits on leadership

2018: Trump launches trade and tech war against China

2019: Hong Kong unrest and China's National Security Law in Hong Kong

2020: US (and EU) sanctions China over human rights violations in Xinjiang. China Covid outbreak. Joe Biden elected US President - continues tough policy towards China

2022: Pelosi visit to Taiwan triggers new high in tensions over the island. US intensifies Chinese export ban on micro chips. Xi Jinping power strengthens further at CPC Congress.

2023: US-China relations hit a new low point with the 'spy balloon' incident but recover later

2010



Disclosures

This research report has been prepared by Danske Bank A/S ('Danske Bank'). The authors of this research report are Allan Von Mehren, Director and Minna Kuusisto, Director.

Analyst certification

Each research analyst responsible for the content of this research report certifies that the views expressed in the research report accurately reflect the research analyst's personal view about the financial instruments and issuers covered by the research report. Each responsible research analyst further certifies that no part of the compensation of the research analyst was, is or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations expressed in the research report.

Regulation

Danske Bank is authorised and regulated by the Danish Financial Services Authority (Finanstilsynet). Danske Bank is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority in the UK. Subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request.

Danske Bank's research reports are prepared in accordance with the recommendations of Capital Market Denmark.

Danske Bank has established procedures to prevent conflicts of interest and to ensure the provision of high-quality research based on research objectivity and independence. These procedures are documented in Danske Bank's research policies. Employees within Danske Bank's Research Departments have been instructed that any request that might impair the objectivity and independence of research shall be referred to Research Management and the Compliance Department. Danske Bank's Research Departments are organised independently from, and do not report to, other business areas within Danske Bank.

Research analysts are remunerated in part based on the overall profitability of Danske Bank, which includes investment banking revenues, but do not receive bonuses or other remuneration linked to specific corporate finance or debt capital transactions.

Financial models and/or methodology used in this research report

Calculations and presentations in this research report are based on standard econometric tools and methodology as well as publicly available statistics for each individual security, issuer and/or country. Documentation can be obtained from the authors on request.

Major risks connected with recommendations or opinions in this research report, including as sensitivity analysis of relevant assumptions, are stated throughout the text.

Expected updates

Monthly

Date of first publication

See the front page of this research report for the date of first publication.

General disclaimer

This research has been prepared by Danske Bank A/S. It is provided for informational purposes only and should not be considered investment, legal or tax advice. It does not constitute or form part of, and shall under no circumstances be considered as, an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to purchase or sell any relevant financial instruments (i.e. financial instruments mentioned herein or other financial instruments of any issuer mentioned herein and/or options, warrants, rights or other interests with respect to any such financial instruments) ('Relevant Financial Instruments').

This research report has been prepared independently and solely on the basis of publicly available information that Danske Bank A/S considers to be reliable but Danske Bank A/S has not independently verified the contents hereof. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure that its contents are not untrue or misleading, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to, and no reliance should be placed on, the fairness, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of the information, opinions and projections contained in this research report and Danske Bank A/S, its affiliates and subsidiaries accept no liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss, including without limitation any loss of profits, arising from reliance on this research report.

The opinions expressed herein are the opinions of the research analysts and reflect their opinion as of the date hereof. These opinions are subject to change and Danske Bank A/S does not undertake to notify any recipient of this research report of any such change nor of any other changes related to the information provided in this research

This research report is not intended for, and may not be redistributed to, retail customers in the United Kingdom (see separate disclaimer below) and retail customers in the European Economic Area as defined by Directive

This research report is protected by copyright and is intended solely for the designated addressee. It may not be reproduced or distributed, in whole or in part, by any recipient for any purpose without Danske Bank A/S's prior written consent.



Disclaimer related to distribution in the United States

This research report was created by Danske Bank A/S and is distributed in the United States by Danske Markets Inc., a U.S. registered broker-dealer and subsidiary of Danske Bank A/S, pursuant to SEC Rule 15a-6 and related interpretations issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. The research report is intended for distribution in the United States solely to 'U.S. institutional investors' as defined in SEC Rule 15a-6. Danske Markets Inc. accepts responsibility for this research report in connection with distribution in the United States solely to 'U.S. institutional investors'.

Danske Bank A/S is not subject to U.S. rules with regard to the preparation of research reports and the independence of research analysts. In addition, the research analysts of Danske Bank A/S who have prepared this research report are not registered or qualified as research analysts with the New York Stock Exchange or Financial Industry Regulatory Authority but satisfy the applicable requirements of a non-U.S. jurisdiction.

Any U.S. investor recipient of this research report who wishes to purchase or sell any Relevant Financial Instrument may do so only by contacting Danske Markets Inc. directly and should be aware that investing in non-U.S. financial instruments may entail certain risks. Financial instruments of non-U.S. issuers may not be registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and may not be subject to the reporting and auditing standards of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Disclaimer related to distribution in the United Kingdom

In the United Kingdom, this document is for distribution only to (I) persons who have professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (the 'Order'); (II) high net worth entities falling within article 49(2)(a) to (d) of the Order; or (III) persons who are an elective professional client or a per se professional client under Chapter 3 of the FCA Conduct of Business Sourcebook (all such persons together being referred to as 'Relevant Persons'). In the United Kingdom, this document is directed only at Relevant Persons, and other persons should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

Disclaimer related to distribution in the European Economic Area

This document is being distributed to and is directed only at persons in member states of the European Economic Area ('EEA') who are 'Qualified Investors' within the meaning of Article 2(e) of the Prospectus Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2017/1129) ('Qualified Investors'). Any person in the EEA who receives this document will be deemed to have represented and agreed that it is a Qualified Investor. Any such recipient will also be deemed to have represented and agreed that it has not received this document on behalf of persons in the EEA other than Qualified Investors or persons in the UK and member states (where equivalent legislation exists) for whom the investor has authority to make decisions on a wholly discretionary basis. Danske Bank A/S will rely on the truth and accuracy of the foregoing representations and agreements. Any person in the EEA who is not a Qualified Investor should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

Report completed: 25/01/2024, 15:02 CET

Report first disseminated: 25/01/2024, 15:15 CET